

Washington MOAS Resolution Evaluation Form

Note: After initial submission, draft resolutions are evaluated by faculty advisors, using this form as their benchmark. Any item on this form that needs revision will be noted on this form and must be corrected before re-submission. This evaluation form must be attached to every resolution that is RE-submitted. (Copies of the form will be available at the Model.) Please consult the Draft Resolution Template as well, particularly for form and punctuation. Please see the Faculty Reviewers Guidelines page for other insights into this process.

Overall Form:

- ___ 1. Plain white paper – No symbols, flags, or school references
- ___ 2. Grammar and punctuation consistent with Draft Resolution Template
- ___ 3. Headings – separate and unnumbered; CONSIDERING:, BEARING IN MIND:, RECALLING:, NOTING:, AWARE:, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT:, RECOGNIZING:, HAVING SEEN:...

Operative Clauses Section:

___ 4. RESOLVES: / RECOMMENDS: / DECLARES: Uses diplomatic language with verbs and clauses of varying intensity

- Mild: to congratulate, commend, acknowledge, praise...
- Moderate: to advise, ask, request, recommend, study, suggest, continue, reiterate, call upon, declare, ...
- Active: to propose, establish, form, create, amend, employ, set, provide, designate, instruct, initiate, increase, decrease, ...
- Forceful: (NO ORDERS TO GOVERNMENTS) to strongly urge, require, authorize, eliminate, exempt,...

___ 5. Operative clauses are numbered, General to Specific, (each ends each with a period (.))

___ 6. Faculty Advisor's Signature

___ 7. Five Co-signatories – Numbered

Draft Resolution Contents:

___ 8. Cites OAS charter, conventions, protocols, documents, OAS passed resolutions, rationale; quotes these documents properly.

___ 9. Indicates appropriate sources and methods of funding.

___ 10. Is consistent with OAS issues, organizations, and regulations.

___ 11. Is consistent with current and existing statistics and data.

___ 12. is consistent with the country's, region's and hemisphere's concerns.

___ 13. Is pertinent to the Agenda Topic.

___ 14. Is specific, well-defined and workable.

___ 15. Is Realistic and Creative.

___ 16. Makes clear what individual, body, or institution is to carry out the action.

Faculty Advisor's Recommendation:

- Approved, as is (or as corrected after resubmission)
- Re-submit after making changes as indicated on this form
- Not approved: see checklist above as well as additional comments
- Assigned to a Working Group, with the following Delegations:

Faculty Reviewer's name, for consultation purposes: